NEC Planning Solutions

PROGRAMME COMPLIANCE REVIEW

Block B Roof and Envelope Refurbishment, Riverside Depot
Demo project, Contractor B | NEC4 ECC Option A | Before submission under Clause 32.2

NECPS-DEMO-PL-CR-0006
Revision P01 | Issued 27/11/26

PROGRAMME REVIEWED	CLAUSE 31.2 ITEMS SHOWN	CLAUSE 31.3 RISK	OPEN ENDS	PLANNED COMPLETION
Rev 04	6 of 9	Action	3	12/03/27
Draft, data date 27/11/26	Three to correct	Until items 4, 6 and 8 are shown	Zone 2, close before issue	Five working days in hand

REVIEWED AGAINST Contract Data part one | Scope | Accepted Programme Rev 03 | Native P6 file, Rev 04 draft | Compensation event register

CLAUSE 31.2: WHAT THE PROGRAMME SHOWS

The nine items, checked against the Contract Data and the Scope

#	CLAUSE 31.2 ITEM	STATUS	FINDING
1	**Starting date, access dates, Key Dates and Completion Date**	MET	As the Contract Data states. KM-02 and KM-03 shown as Key Dates.
2	**Planned Completion**	MET	12/03/27, five working days before the Completion Date of 19/03/27.
3	**Order and timing of the Contractor's operations**	MET	214 activities, logic-linked from the data date to planned Completion.
4	**Order and timing of the work of the Client and Others**	PARTLY	The plant room decant is not linked to the Client's asbestos survey report.
5	**Dates for meeting each Condition for a Key Date**	MET	Roof watertight 16/12/26 and north scaffold struck 26/02/27.
6	**Float, time risk allowances, health and safety requirements and procedures**	PARTLY	Time risk allowances sit inside the durations of six activities and are not identified.
7	**Dates when access, acceptances, Plant and Materials and information are needed**	MET	Needed-by dates shown for 13 Client, Others and delivery items.
8	**How each operation will be done, with the principal Equipment and resources**	PARTLY	No statement for the parapet hot works or the scaffold strike.
9	**Other information the Scope requires**	MET	Native P6 file and PDF, with the activity coding the Scope asks for.

CLAUSE 31.3: WOULD THE PROJECT MANAGER HAVE A REASON NOT TO ACCEPT?

The four reasons the clause allows

REASON	RISK	WHY
The plans are not practicable	LOW	Logic holds. Three open ends in zone 2 to close before issue.
It does not show the information the contract requires	ACTION	Items 4, 6 and 8 above.
It does not represent the plans realistically	LOW	Zone 2 membrane durations match the output achieved on zone 1.
It does not comply with the Scope	LOW	Format, software and coding as the Scope states.

The Project Manager replies within two weeks of submission, accepting the programme or giving reasons.

LOGIC CHECKS

Native P6 file, data date 27/11/26

ACTIVITIES	OPEN ENDS	HARD CONSTRAINTS	CRITICAL PATH
214	3	0	Continuous
Logic-linked	Zone 2 membrane	Dates driven by logic	Data date to planned Completion

FINDINGS, IN THE ORDER THEY WOULD STOP ACCEPTANCE

Four actions

1. Identify the time risk allowances held inside the durations of six activities. Clause 31.2, item 6.
2. Add a statement of how the parapet hot works and the scaffold strike will be done, with the principal Equipment. Clause 31.2, item 8.
3. Link the plant room decant to the Client's asbestos survey report. Clause 31.2, item 4.
4. Close the three open ends in zone 2.

Recommendation. Correct items 4, 6 and 8 and close the open ends, then submit Rev 04 under Clause 32.2. Reply due within two weeks: 11/12/26.